Appl. No. 09/918,844 Amdr. Dated June 15, 2004 Reply to Office action of June 3, 2004

Remarks

Claims 20-26 remain pending in this application. In the examiner's June 3, 2004

advisory action, the it was suggested that the amendments did not place the application in

condition for allowance, but that the amendments were entered for purposes of appeal. Claim 20

stands rejected as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,902,423 issued to Bacino. Claims 21-

26 stand rejected as being unpatentably obvious considering Bacino in view of alleged admitted

prior art in the Applicant's own specification.

Again, we disagree with the examiner's findings. Claim 20, however, has been

even further amended to more clearly distinguish this claim over Bacino.

Bacino does not disclose a PTFE material as that which is now claimed. Both

independent claims - claims 20 and 26 - include limitations of a PTFE material including short

fibrils which interconnect the nodes in each aggregation multidirectionally to form expanded

aggregations. This may be seen in FIG. 2 of the application. FIG. 2 shows the short fibrils

interconnecting the nodes included in each of the aggregates. Short fibrils like this are not

shown in Bacino, or any of the other references cited.

Bacino discloses long fibrils connecting rows of transverse fibrillated nodes. The

relatively shorter fiber bundles of Bacino occur in the conventional sorts of PTFE fibrils found

between unexpanded PTFE nodes. In Bacino the short bundles extend transversely between

aggregations. There is no interconnecting by short fibrils between the nodes contained within

each aggregation. Bacino transverse/relatively short bundles extend between nodes in different

aggregations. Thus, these bundles do nothing to reduce the densities of each aggregation. The

short fibrils of the present invention do, however. Because this difference is, and has been

claimed, we believe this application is now in condition for allowance.

Page 4 of 5

1454924vI

NO. 5412 P. 6/6

JUN. 15. 2004 2:44PM

Appl. No. 09/918,844 Amdt. Dated June 15, 2004 Reply to Office action of June 3, 2004

Therefore, because all of the claimed limitations are not shown in the prior art, it is respectfully requested that all outstanding rejections be withdrawn, and claims 20-26 pass on to issue. If, however, the Examiner believes that any outstanding issues remain, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Marshall Honeyman Reg. No. 48,114

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. 2555 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613 816/474-6550

THE COMMISSIONER IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE ANY ADDITIONAL AMOUNT REQUIRED, OR CREDIT ANY OVERPAYMENT, TO ACCOUNT NO. 19-2112. A DUPLICATE COPY OF THIS SHEET IS ENCLOSED.